

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10003131-1 1128 09/680,546 10/04/2000 Douglas G. Keithley EXAMINER 7590 06/07/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY LEE, CHEUKFAN Intellectual Property Administration ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80528-9599 2622

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/680,54	16	KEITHLEY ET AL.
		Examiner		Art Unit
		Cheukfan	Lee	2622
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFIGING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the state lod will apply and with the cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
1)🛛 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u>	5 March 2004.		
•—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3) 🗌 🤃	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims			
5) 6 6) 6 7) 6 8) 6 Application	Claim(s) 1-4, 7-10, and 13 is/are pending in a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-10 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exam the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	d/or election reliner.	nsideration. equirement. ☐ objected to by the	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corribe oath or declaration is objected to by the	-		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[:	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment	s)			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/NO(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/680,546

Art Unit: 2622

- 1. Claims 1-4, 7-10 and 13 are pending. Claim 13 is newly added. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, and 13 are independent.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurosu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,181,260) in view of Ui et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,340,984).

Regarding claim 13, Kurosu et al. discloses a system comprising an automatic document feeding device for feeding a document to be scanned, a scanner equipped with the feeding device for scanning the document image and converting the image data to digital image data (col. 6, lines 16-19 and 55-60), a skew detector (3 or 4) for detecting or measuring a skew (angle) in the image (col. 6, line 61 – col. 7, line 58), and image correction unit (6) for correcting the digital image data as a function of the skew (angle) (col. 7, line 59 – col. 8, line 38).

Kurosu et al. differs from the claimed invention in that Kurosu et al. detects the skew in the digital image generated by the scanner, whereas the claimed invention

Application/Control Number: 09/680,546

Art Unit: 2622

detects skew in the sheet media or the document being scanned. However, detecting skew in sheet media fed by a sheet feeder and using the detected skew to correct digital image data is taught by Ui et al. (line sensor LS, col. 3, line 66 – col. 4, line 68, col. 5, line 1 – col. 7, line 25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a skew detector (linear sensor) in the device of Kurosu et al., for detecting skew in the document being fed by the automatic document feeding device and correct the digital image as a function of the detected skew as taught by Ui et al., instead of using the digital image data skew detector (3 or 4) of Kurosu et al., in order to simplify the skew detector process for documents of different thickness and/or size (Ui et al., col. 1, lines 29-35).

5. Claims 1-4 and 7-10 are allowed. Claim 1 has been amended to include limitation of claim 6, now canceled, which was indicated to be allowable subject matter in the previous Office action dated Dec. 18, 2003. Claim 2 has been rewritten in independent form. Claim 2 was indicated to contain allowable subject matter in that previous Office action. Claims 3 and 4 depend upon claim 2. Claim 7 has been amended to include limitation of claim 12, now canceled, which was indicated to contain allowable subject matter. Claim 8 has been rewritten in independent form. Claim 8 was indicated to contain allowable subject matter. Claims 9 and 10 depend upon claim 8.

Reasons for allowance for the allowable limitations have been given in the previous Office action.

Cheukfan Lee

Application/Control Number: 09/680,546

Art Unit: 2622

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheukfan Lee whose telephone number is (703) 305-4867. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on (703) 305-4712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cheukfan Lee May 19, 2004